

NORTH CAROLINA

WAKE COUNTY

BEFORE THE  
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE  
OF THE  
NORTH CAROLINA STATE BAR  
12G0781

---

IN THE MATTER OF )

John J. Peck, )  
Attorney At Law )

REPRIMAND

---

On January 23, 2014 the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar met and considered the grievance filed against you by A. C.

Pursuant to Section .0113(a) of the Discipline and Disability Rules of the North Carolina State Bar, the Grievance Committee conducted a preliminary hearing. After considering the information available to it, including your response to the letter of notice, the Grievance Committee found probable cause. Probable cause is defined in the rules as "reasonable cause to believe that a member of the North Carolina State Bar is guilty of misconduct justifying disciplinary action."

The rules provide that after a finding of probable cause, the Grievance Committee may determine that the filing of a complaint and a hearing before the Disciplinary Hearing Commission are not required, and the Grievance Committee may issue various levels of discipline depending upon the misconduct, the actual or potential injury caused, and any aggravating or mitigating factors. The Grievance Committee may issue an admonition, a reprimand, or a censure to the respondent attorney.

A reprimand is a written form of discipline more serious than an admonition issued in cases in which an attorney has violated one or more provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct and has caused harm or potential harm to a client, the administration of justice, the profession, or a member of the public, but the misconduct does not require a censure.

The Grievance Committee was of the opinion that a censure is not required in this case and issues this reprimand to you. As chairman of the Grievance Committee of the North Carolina State Bar, it is now my duty to issue this reprimand.


You represented P.D. from 2004 through 2007. During your representation of P.D., you also represented your personal friend, R.W. You solicited P.D. to invest in R.W.'s business venture and facilitated the loan transaction between R.W. and P.D. You represented both P.D. and R.W. in the loan transaction. Your duty of loyalty to P.D. was materially limited by your duty of loyalty to R.W. Your conduct violated Rule 1.7.

Also, you failed to list the name of the client from whose trust account balance payment of your fees and/or expenses was drawn on the face of trust account checks made payable to you in violation of Rule 1.15-2(h).

You are hereby reprimanded by the North Carolina State Bar for your professional misconduct. The Grievance Committee trusts that you will heed this reprimand, that it will be remembered by you, that it will be beneficial to you, and that you will never again allow yourself to depart from adherence to the high ethical standards of the legal profession.

In accordance with the policy adopted July 23, 2010 by the Council of the North Carolina State Bar regarding the taxing of administrative fees and investigative costs to any attorney issued a reprimand by the Grievance Committee, an administrative fee in the amount of \$350.00 is hereby taxed to you.

Done and ordered, this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of FEBRUARY, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. Silverstein, Chair  
Grievance Committee

JMS/lb